

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/607,567	Applicant(s) DINH ET AL.	
	Examiner Srirama Channavajjala	Art Unit 2166	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Srirama Channavajjala.

(3) _____.

(2) Owen J. Gamon, Reg.No. 36,143.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 April 2007

Time: 3 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Appeal Brief as filed on 3/27/2007

Claims discussed:

1,5-6,10-12,14,16-19

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

A telephone was made to applicant's representative Attorney, Owen J. Gamon Reg.No. 36,143 about the potential amendment to the claims 1,6-7,11-12,16-17,19, cancel claims: 5,10,14,18, in order to allow the case. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment.